

1 **Effect of selected bacteria from biogas sludge on the growth and nutrition**
2 **of upland rice**

3 Efecto de las bacterias seleccionadas de los lodos de biogás en el crecimiento y
4 la nutrición del arroz de secano

5 **Novilda Elizabeth Mustamu¹, Zulkifli Nasution^{2*}, Irvan³, and Mariani Sembiring²**

6 ¹Doctoral Program in Agricultural Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Sumatera Utara
7 (Indonesia).

8 ²Program Study of Agrotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Sumatera Utara
9 (Indonesia).

10 ³Program Study of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Sumatera Utara
11 (Indonesia).

12 *Corresponding author: zulnasution@usu.ac.id nemustamu@gmail.com
13

14 **ABSTRACT**

15 This study evaluated the influence of selected superior bacterial isolates (SBI), biogas sludge,
16 and their interactions on growth and nutrient uptake of upland rice grown in Ultisols. We used
17 a randomized block design with two factors and seven replicates from October 2020 to April
18 2021. The first factor used selected SBI (B0 = untreated, B1 = nitrogen-fixing bacteria isolate
19 (N3), B2 = phosphate solubilizing bacteria isolate (P7), B3 = isolate combination (N3+P7)).
20 The second factor was the dosage of biogas sludge (S0 = untreated, S1 = 157.5; S2 = 315; S3
21 = 630 ml/polybag). The parameters were determined by ANOVA and followed by Duncan's
22 multiple range test at $P < 0.05$. The results showed that the isolate P7 significantly increased the
23 N uptake by 20.77% and crop growth rate (CGR) of upland rice 2.81 times. Biogas sludge doses
24 from 315 to 630 ml/polybag significantly increased plant height, uptake of N and P, total fresh
25 and dry weight, and CGR of upland rice. The interaction between N3 and biogas sludge dosage
26 of 630 ml/polybag significantly increased the CGR of upland rice. The application of isolates
27 N3 and P7 and their combination within biogas sludge of 630 ml/polybag has the potential to
28 increase the CGR of upland rice in acidic soils.
29

30 **Key words:** acidic soil, crop growth rate, dosage, sludge potential.

31

32 **RESUMEN**

33 El presente estudio evaluó la influencia de aislamientos bacterianos superiores seleccionados
34 (ABS), lodos de biogás y sus interacciones sobre el crecimiento y la absorción de nutrientes en
35 el arroz de tierras altas cultivado en ultisoles. Se utilizó un diseño de bloques al azar con dos
36 factores y siete repeticiones desde octubre de 2020 hasta abril de 2021. El primer factor
37 utilizado seleccionó ABS (B0 = sin tratamiento, B1 = aislamiento de bacterias fijadoras de
38 nitrógeno (N3), B2 = aislamiento de bacterias solubilizantes de fosfato (P7), B3 = combinación
39 de aislados (N3+P7)). El segundo factor fue la dosificación del lodo de biogás (S0 = sin
40 tratamiento, S1 = 157.5; S2 = 315; S3 = 630 ml/polybag). Los parámetros fueron determinados
41 por análisis de varianza y seguidos de la prueba de rangos múltiples de Duncan a $P < 0.05$. Los
42 resultados mostraron que el aislamiento P7 aumentó significativamente la absorción de N en
43 un 20.77% y la tasa de crecimiento del cultivo (TCC) de arroz de tierras altas 2.81 veces. Las
44 dosis de lodos de biogás de 315 a 630 ml/polybag aumentaron significativamente la altura de
45 la planta, la absorción de N y P, el peso fresco y seco total y el TCC de arroz de tierras altas.
46 La interacción de N3 con la dosis de lodos de biogás de 630 ml/polybag aumentó
47 significativamente la TCC del arroz de tierras altas. La aplicación de los aislamientos N3 y P7
48 y su combinación dentro de lodos de biogás de 630 ml/polybag tiene el potencial de aumentar
49 el TCC de arroz de tierras altas en suelos ácidos.

50

51 **Palabras clave:** suelo ácido, tasa de crecimiento de cultivos, dosis, potencial de lodo.

52

53 **Introduction**

54 Biogas sludge is the waste by-product from an anaerobic processing system (FAO, 1977) and
55 has a high nutrient content that can be used as organic fertilizer to increase soil fertility and the
56 plants yield (Adela *et al.*, 2014). The following characteristics of the biogas sludge from palm
57 oil waste have been reported: total N of 490 mg L⁻¹, total P of 110 mg L⁻¹, total K of 1.9 mg L⁻¹
58 (Lubis *et al.*, 2014), C/N 8; 0.14% N, 1.12% C (Tepsour *et al.*, 2019), and NH₃-N of 91 -112
59 mg L⁻¹ (Choorit & Wisarnwan, 2007). The pH may range from 6.8 to 8.3, with the highest
60 bacterial population of 7.21×10⁷ cells per ml and the lowest one of 3.15×10⁷ cells per ml
61 (Alvionita *et al.*, 2019). Additionally, Mustamu and Triyanto (2020) reported that the biogas
62 sludge has nitrogen-fixing and phosphate solubilizing bacteria that have the potential to increase
63 the availability of nitrogen and phosphate in soils.

64 The diversity of beneficial bacteria such as nitrogen-fixing and phosphate solubilizing bacteria
65 has a greater potential to increase soil fertility and plant growth. Zhang *et al.* (2013) reported
66 that phosphate solubilizing bacteria play an important role in increasing soil fertility, and plant
67 yield, and reducing the use of chemical fertilizers. Sharma *et al.* (2013) described different
68 *Bacillus* species, such as *B. circulans*, *B. cereus*, *B. fusiformis*, *B. pumilus*, *B. megaterium*, *B.*
69 *mycooides*, *B. coagulans*, *B. chitinolyticus*, and *B. subtilis* as phosphate solubilizing
70 microorganisms. Ambrosini *et al.* (2016) showed the highest nitrogenase activity in *Bacillus*
71 *cereus* among 42 different strains of *Bacillus* spp. Lim *et al.* (2018) also reported the dominant
72 bacteria found in the biogas sludge from anaerobic processing using the pyrosequencing and
73 clone library methods, *i.e.*, *Proteobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, *Bacteroidetes*, and *Thermotogae*.

74 The application of bacteria from biogas sludge has never been reported in Indonesia for
75 improving upland rice growth on acidic soils, including Ultisols. According to the Pusat
76 Penelitian Tanah dan Agroklimat (Center for Soil and Agro-climate Research) (2000), the area
77 in Indonesia covered by Ultisols was 45.8 million ha, or 24% of the total area of Indonesia.
78 Furthermore, according to the Ministry of Agriculture, the area dedicated to rice cultivation in

79 Indonesia was 15,712,025 ha with a yield of 81,148,617 t ha⁻¹ in 2017 and the contribution of
80 upland rice yield reached 4.66% (Kementerian Pertanian, 2017). The yield contribution of
81 upland rice was classified as low and, therefore, it is necessary to find options in order to
82 increase it. Thus, it is necessary to test the potential of beneficial bacterial isolates from biogas
83 sludge to increase the availability of nitrogen and phosphate, and the growth response of upland
84 rice due to application of the biogas sludge and selected isolates in Ultisols. The study aimed
85 to evaluate the influence of selected superior bacterial isolates, biogas sludge, and their
86 interaction on the mineral nutrition of the upland rice grown in Ultisols.

87

88 **Materials and methods**

89 **Study area**

90 The concentration of total N and available P in Ultisols and in the plant tissue (N and P uptake)
91 were analyzed in the Analytical Laboratory of Socfin Indonesia Inc., Medan (Indonesia). The
92 bacterial isolates were applied to upland rice in the village of Padang Bulan (3°37.760' N;
93 98°38.898' E; altitude 18 m a.s.l.), Medan Selayang Subdistrict, Medan City, Indonesia, from
94 October 2020 to April 2021. The average temperature was 27.4°C, the average air humidity,
95 was 82% and average rainfall was 228.5 mm per month.

96 **Preparation of medium and upland rice seeds**

97 The medium to grow upland rice plants used the Ultisols from the Simalingkar area, Medan
98 Tuntungan Subdistrict, Medan City, at a depth of 0 to 20 cm. One hundred g of soil samples
99 were taken and analyzed for chemical characteristics such as pH using H₂O, organic C by
100 Walkley-Black, available P by Bray-II, total N using Kjeldahl method, and cation exchange
101 capacity (CEC) and base saturation (K, Ca, Na, Mg) by ammonium acetate pH 7 method (Tab.
102 1). The soil was sterilized by drying at 100°C for 2 h. For preventing heat from the sterilization

103 process, the soil was incubated for 1 d and then placed into a 10 kg polybag (18 cm × 18 cm).
 104 A basic NPK fertilizer (16-16-16) by Meroke Tetap Jaya Inc., Medan (Indonesia) at a dose of
 105 1.5 g/polybag was applied by stirring evenly with the soil. The seeds of upland rice (*Oryza*
 106 *sativa* L.) were of the inbred variety Inpago-8 from the Indonesian Agency for Agricultural
 107 Research and Development were soaked in water for 24 h, followed by the application of the
 108 fungicide Propineb (70%) for 2 h. Upland rice was planted after 1 d of basic fertilization with
 109 two seeds per polybag at a depth of 2 cm.

110 **TABLE 1.** Chemical characteristics of the Ultisols soil samples after sterilization at 100°C.
 111

Chemical characteristics	Methods*	Value	Category*
Soil pH (H ₂ O)	Electrometry	4.80	Acid
Organic C (%)	Walkley-Black	0.44	Very low
Total N (%)	Kjeldahl	0.04	Very low
Available P (mg kg ⁻¹)	Spectrophotometry	870.25	Very high
CEC (meq 100 g ⁻¹)	Ammonium acetate pH 7	28.31	High
Base saturation (%)	Ammonium acetate pH 7	4.85	Very low
Exchangeable cations			
K (meq 100 g ⁻¹)	Ammonium acetate pH 7	0.60	High
Ca (meq 100 g ⁻¹)	Ammonium acetate pH 7	0.34	Very low
Mg (meq 100 g ⁻¹)	Ammonium acetate pH 7	0.32	Very low
Na (meq 100 g ⁻¹)	Ammonium acetate pH 7	0.09	Very low
Al (%)	Ammonium acetate pH 7	0.02	Very low

112 *Criteria for pH (H₂O) = 4.5-5.5 (acid); organic C <1% (very low); total N <0.1% (very low); available P >60 mg
 113 kg⁻¹ (very high); Cation exchange capacity (CEC) = 25-40 meq 100 g⁻¹ (high); base saturation <20% (very low);
 114 exchangeable K= 0.60-1.00 meq 100 g⁻¹ (high); exchangeable Ca <2 meq 100 g⁻¹ (very low); exchangeable Mg
 115 <0.4 meq 100 g⁻¹ (very low); exchangeable Na <0.1 meq 100 g⁻¹ (very low); exchangeable Al <5% (very low)
 116 (Balai Penelitian Tanah (Indonesia Soil Research Institute), 2009).
 117

118 **Preparation of superior bacterial isolates suspension and biogas sludge**

119 A total of 1 ml of the bacterial isolate suspension obtained from the characteristic stage was put
 120 into a test tube containing 9 ml of distilled water and homogenized. The dilution was made to
 121 10⁻⁵. A total of 0.1 ml of the suspension from the last dilution was spread over the James
 122 nitrogen free malat bromothymol blue (JNFB) medium for the nitrogen-fixing bacterial isolates
 123 test and Pikovskaya (PVK) medium for the phosphate solubilizing bacteria isolates. The culture
 124 medium was incubated for 2 to 3 d at room temperature. The nitrogen-fixing bacterial isolate
 125 test was characterized by the presence of colonies growing on the JNFB medium. The growth

126 of phosphate solubilizing bacterial isolates was indicated by a halo zone around the microbial
 127 colonies on the Pikovskaya medium. Seven nitrogen-fixing and seven phosphate-solubilizing
 128 isolates were found to produce total-N and available-P. The isolates that showed the highest
 129 phosphate and nitrogen increasing abilities were selected, namely phosphate solubilizing
 130 bacteria (P7) and nitrogen-fixing bacteria (N3), which were confirmed by Mustamu *et al.*
 131 (2021a, 2021b).

132 The biogas sludge was collected from Nubika Jaya Inc., Pinang City, Labuhanbatu District,
 133 North Sumatra Province, Indonesia. The procedure for processing biogas sludge can be
 134 explained that the palm oil mill removes POME (Palm Oil Mill Effluent) waste from the second
 135 pond which has been mixed with oil and then separated at an optimal temperature of 35°C.
 136 Liquid waste is pumped into the receiver tank with a volume of 10 m³ and filtered on a fiber
 137 tank screen for separated the solid waste such as fiber and others. Liquid waste from the receiver
 138 tank is pumped to the tower tank. Then it is distributed evenly to the fixed tank with a
 139 temperature of 35 to 37°C and a flow rate of 20 to 30 m³/h. The biogas sludge is taken from a
 140 fixed tank. Bacterial isolates and biogas sludge were applied to the soil surface at the base of
 141 the plants at one week after planting. Biogas sludge samples at a 500 ml volume were used to
 142 analyze the chemical and biological characteristics (Tab. 2).

143 **TABLE 2.** The chemical and biological characteristics of the biogas sludge.

144

Characteristics	Method*	Value
pH	Electrometry	7.41
Chemical oxygen demand (mg L ⁻¹)	Spectrophotometry	4547.8
Biological oxygen demand (mg L ⁻¹)	Titrimetry	1127.5
Total N (%)	Kjeldahl	0.051
Total P (%)	Spectrophotometry	0.0097
Available-P (%)	Spectrophotometry	0.013
Total K (%)	Graphite furnace - atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAS)	0.18
Organic C (%)	Walkley-Black	0.14
Ca (%)	Graphite furnace-AAS	0.04
Mg (%)	Graphite furnace-AAS	0.04
Na (ppm)	Graphite furnace-AAS	44.41
Cu (%)	AAS	0.0001
Total nitrogen-fixing bacteria (CFU ml ⁻¹)	Plate count	29.4×10 ⁵

Total phosphate solubilizing bacteria (CFU ml⁻¹) Plate count 7.0×10⁴

Note: *laboratory analysis based on the Balai Penelitian Tanah (Indonesia Soil Research Institute), 2009).

146

147 **Treatment application**

148 This study used a randomized block design with two factors and seven replicates. The first
 149 factor was the type of superior bacterial isolates (B0 = untreated; B1 = nitrogen-fixing bacterial
 150 isolate (N3); B2 = phosphate solubilizing bacteria isolate (P7); B3 = combination of isolates
 151 N3+P7) at a similar dose, namely 10 ml/polybag. The second factor was dose of biogas sludge
 152 (S0 = untreated; S1 = 157.5; S2 = 315; S3 = 630 ml/polybag). **Determination of biogas sludge**
 153 **based on the dose of liquid organic fertilizer at the oil palm was 126 m³ ha⁻¹ equal to 126,000**
 154 **L ha⁻¹ (Sutarta *et al.*, 2003), then converted to soil weight per polybag (Eq. 1).** Each replicate
 155 was harvested at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after application (WAA) for determination of the crop
 156 growth rate (CGR).

$$157 \text{ Biogas sludge} = \frac{\text{The dose of liquid organic fertilizer ha}^{-1}}{\text{Soil weight ha}^{-1}} \times \text{soil weight per polybag} \quad (1)$$

$$158 = \frac{26,000 \text{ L ha}^{-1}}{2,000,000 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}} \times 10 \text{ kg} = 630 \text{ ml}$$

159 **Parameters and data analysis**

160 The observations of the variables were conducted by measuring the growth of upland rice (plant
 161 height, and total fresh and dry weight), contents and uptake of N and P in the shoots, and CGR.
 162 The plant height was measured from the base of the roots to the tip of leaves using a meter, and
 163 the total fresh weight was obtained by weighing the roots and shoots. The total dry weight (roots
 164 + shoots) was measured after using an oven (model VS-1202D3, Vision Scientific Co., Korea)
 165 at 60°C for 48 h and weighed using the analytical scales. A 200 g sample of the second leaf
 166 from the shoots was collected and analyzed to determine the N content using the Kjeldahl and
 167 the P content was estimated using the destruction method through dry ashing. The N and P

168 uptake were measured using Equation 2. The CGR was calculated as the dry weight related to
 169 the unit area at 4-8, 8-12, and 12-16 WAA using Equation 3 (Shon *et al.*, 1997):

$$170 \quad \text{Nutrient uptake} = \text{nutrient content in the shoots} \times \text{total dry weight} \quad (2)$$

$$171 \quad \text{CGR} = \frac{\Delta W}{\Delta t} = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{t_2 - t_1} \quad (3)$$

172 where:

173 CGR = crop growth rate;

174 W1 = dry weight per unit area at t1;

175 W2 = dry weight per unit area at t2;

176 t1 = first sampling;

177 t2 = second sampling;

178 The parameters of the second phase of the study were analyzed by an ANOVA and if the
 179 treatment had a significant effect, followed by Duncan's multiple range test at $P < 0.05$ using
 180 SPSS v.20 software (IBM, 2011).


181

182 **Results**

183 **Effect of bacterial isolates and biogas sludge on upland rice growth**

184 Plant height of upland rice

185 The effect of biogas sludge application was significant on the plant height of upland rice at 8,
 186 12, and 16 WAA. Superior bacterial isolates and their interactions did not have a significant
 187 effect on the plant height of upland rice at 4, 8, 12, and 16 WAA (Fig. 1). A significant increase
 188 in plant height of upland rice was observed with higher doses of biogas sludge of 630
 189 ml/polybag at 8, 12, and 16 WAA with the highest increase of 14.81% compared to the control
 190 at 16 WAA. Although the effect was not significant, the combination of isolates B3 and the
 191 interaction of B2S3 showed the highest in plant height of upland rice by 2.94 and 22.06%,
 192 respectively, compared to the control.



193 **FIGURE 1.** Effect of superior bacterial isolates, dosage of biogas sludge, and their interactions on plant height of
 194 upland rice at 4, 8, 12, and 16 WAA. Values followed by the different letter in the graph significantly differed
 195 according to the Duncan test at $P < 0.05$. ns - not significant. Dosage of biogas sludge (S0 = untreated; S1 = 157.5;
 196 S2 = 315; S3 = 630 ml/polybag). Superior bacterial isolates (B0 = untreated; B1 = isolate N3, B2 = isolate P7; B3
 197 = isolates N3+P7).

198

199 Biomass of upland rice

200 The effect of biogas sludge significantly increased the total fresh weight of upland rice at 8, 12,
 201 and 16 WAA. Superior bacterial isolates and their interactions did not have a significant effect
 202 on the total fresh weight of upland rice at 4-16 WAA (Tab. 3).

203 **TABLE 3.** Effect of superior bacterial isolates, biogas sludge, and their interactions on the total fresh weight
 204 (shoot+roots) of individual upland rice plants at 4, 8, 12, and 16 weeks after the application (WAA).

205

Treatments	Total fresh weight \pm standard error (g)			
	4 WAA	8 WAA	12 WAA	16 WAA
Superior bacterial isolates (B)				
B0	4.15 \pm 0.21	169.31 \pm 8.90	215.27 \pm 8.42	229.82 \pm 8.94
B1	3.12 \pm 0.12	194.50 \pm 9.35	235.08 \pm 10.32	252.02 \pm 10.22
B2	4.52 \pm 0.23	162.89 \pm 11.15	201.85 \pm 9.89	230.70 \pm 9.28
B3	3.30 \pm 0.25	173.91 \pm 12.55	220.40 \pm 15.96	245.03 \pm 16.32
Biogas sludge (S)				
S0	3.72 \pm 0.24	144.07 \pm 9.37 b	182.67 \pm 7.14 b	197.56 \pm 6.58 b
S1	3.58 \pm 0.27	153.41 \pm 7.93 b	190.70 \pm 8.90 b	215.65 \pm 7.03 b
S2	3.64 \pm 0.27	199.68 \pm 10.30 a	258.70 \pm 9.63 a	280.15 \pm 9.25 a
S3	4.15 \pm 0.25	203.45 \pm 1.36 a	240.52 \pm 2.81 a	264.21 \pm 2.42 a
Interactions (B \times S)				
B0S0	4.99 \pm 0.33	124.08 \pm 5.60	185.64 \pm 3.32	192.78 \pm 2.96

BOS1	3.47 ± 0.26	160.43 ± 1.16	188.60 ± 5.76	207.05 ± 3.97
BOS2	3.42 ± 0.42	185.97 ± 6.80	232.60 ± 8.75	250.84 ± 7.40
BOS3	4.71 ± 0.42	206.76 ± 5.49	254.23 ± 10.27	268.61 ± 8.85
B1S0	2.80 ± 0.18	155.79 ± 1.12	183.96 ± 5.20	202.88 ± 2.88
B1S1	3.74 ± 0.29	174.82 ± 9.01	227.91 ± 6.38	236.60 ± 6.32
B1S2	3.28 ± 0.40	241.17 ± 5.25	283.60 ± 7.76	296.08 ± 8.05
B1S3	2.67 ± 0.22	206.20 ± 7.23	244.85 ± 6.26	272.52 ± 4.34
B2S0	3.19 ± 0.18	190.90 ± 7.77	215.36 ± 7.67	229.11 ± 6.75
B2S1	4.85 ± 0.38	106.74 ± 13.42	143.16 ± 13.02	179.61 ± 10.36
B2S2	5.20 ± 0.24	148.40 ± 11.59	219.65 ± 5.26	248.72 ± 6.94
B2S3	4.82 ± 0.45	205.53 ± 10.50	229.21 ± 16.57	265.34 ± 9.58
B3S0	3.91 ± 0.30	105.53 ± 3.94	145.72 ± 1.96	165.45 ± 1.11
B3S1	2.25 ± 0.09	171.63 ± 4.90	203.14 ± 7.07	239.34 ± 12.07
B3S2	2.66 ± 0.14	223.17 ± 7.84	298.95 ± 1.51	324.94 ± 3.03
B3S3	4.37 ± 0.07	195.31 ± 6.77	233.79 ± 8.40	250.38 ± 8.16
CV (%)	56.09	29.68	26.31	20.78

206 Values followed by the different letter in the column significantly differed according to the Duncan test at $P < 0.05$.
 207 ns - not significant; CV - coefficient of variation. Dosage of biogas sludge (S0 = untreated; S1 = 157.5; S2 = 315;
 208 S3 = 630 ml/polybag). Superior bacterial isolates (B0 = un-treated; B1 = isolate N3, B2 = isolate P7, B3 = isolates
 209 N3+P7).

210
 211 A significant increase in the total fresh weight of upland rice was observed with the higher dose
 212 of biogas sludge of 315 ml/polybag at 16 WAA, with the highest increase of 41.81% compared
 213 to the control. Although the effect was not significant, B1 and the interaction of B3S2 showed
 214 the highest in the total fresh weight of upland rice with 9.66 and 68.55%, respectively,
 215 compared to the control.

216 The effect of biogas sludge significantly increased the total dry weight of upland rice at 12 and
 217 16 WAA. Superior bacterial isolates and their interactions had an insignificant effect on the
 218 total dry weight of upland rice at 4 -16 WAA (Tab. 4).

219 **TABLE 4.** Effect of superior bacterial isolates, biogas sludge, and their interactions on the total dry weight
 220 (shoot+roots) of individual upland rice plants at 4, 8, 12, and 16 weeks after the application (WAA).

Treatments	Total dry weight ± standard error (g)			
	4 WAA	8 WAA	12 WAA	16 WAA
Superior bacterial isolates (B)				
B0	1.38 ± 0.06	48.01 ± 1.29	73.60 ± 3.99	82.52 ± 4.18
B1	1.13 ± 0.05	54.09 ± 2.41	76.83 ± 2.66	99.72 ± 4.15
B2	1.49 ± 0.06	47.30 ± 3.30	73.20 ± 2.28	98.25 ± 3.90
B3	1.15 ± 0.07	52.32 ± 3.39	77.18 ± 4.90	98.47 ± 4.56
Biogas sludge (S)				
S0	1.26 ± 0.06	45.51 ± 2.63	62.88 ± 2.19 b	76.78 ± 1.63 c
S1	1.23 ± 0.08	44.47 ± 1.71	68.52 ± 2.00 ab	87.65 ± 2.84 bc
S2	1.26 ± 0.08	55.36 ± 3.43	85.69 ± 1.08 a	98.95 ± 1.86 b
S3	1.40 ± 0.06	56.38 ± 1.05	83.73 ± 3.44 a	115.59 ± 2.11 a
Interactions (B×S)				

B0S0	1.58 ± 0.08	41.73 ± 2.78	58.08 ± 1.54	67.23 ± 0.96
B0S1	1.12 ± 0.08	45.87 ± 0.83	62.74 ± 1.83	71.08 ± 1.91
B0S2	1.20 ± 0.12	52.25 ± 2.07	81.39 ± 5.48	88.28 ± 5.02
B0S3	1.60 ± 0.12	52.18 ± 0.29	92.20 ± 3.05	103.49 ± 2.43
B1S0	0.97 ± 0.04	46.64 ± 1.39	69.53 ± 4.90	80.30 ± 4.51
B1S1	1.40 ± 0.07	48.13 ± 2.78	78.91 ± 0.53	96.23 ± 1.50
B1S2	1.12 ± 0.10	67.79 ± 1.44	91.05 ± 2.25	101.80 ± 2.40
B1S3	1.02 ± 0.08	53.81 ± 3.76	67.84 ± 1.77	120.54 ± 2.15
B2S0	1.17 ± 0.05	59.32 ± 2.33	70.92 ± 4.20	81.43 ± 3.82
B2S1	1.54 ± 0.10	34.47 ± 2.16	61.69 ± 1.97	89.84 ± 1.41
B2S2	1.73 ± 0.05	37.37 ± 3.74	83.10 ± 1.19	105.46 ± 1.37
B2S3	1.53 ± 0.10	58.05 ± 1.76	77.07 ± 4.27	116.28 ± 1.30
B3S0	1.30 ± 0.07	34.35 ± 7.04	52.98 ± 0.73	78.16 ± 0.48
B3S1	0.85 ± 0.03	49.40 ± 0.08	70.72 ± 1.29	93.44 ± 2.19
B3S2	0.99 ± 0.05	64.05 ± 4.68	87.22 ± 2.90	100.26 ± 1.93
B3S3	1.44 ± 0.02	61.48 ± 2.47	97.80 ± 0.77	122.04 ± 0.20
CV (%)	43.80	31.22	26.54	18.38

222 Values followed by the different letter in the column significantly differed according to the Duncan test at $P < 0.05$.
 223 ns= not significant; CV - coefficient of variation. Dosage of biogas sludge (S0 = untreated; S1 = 157.5; S2 = 315;
 224 S3 = 630 ml/polybag). Superior bacterial isolates (B0 = un-treated; B1 = isolate N3, B2 = isolate P7, B3 = isolates
 225 N3+P7).

226

227 A significant increase in total dry weight of upland rice was observed with the increase in the
 228 dosage of biogas sludge of 630 ml/polybag at 16 WAA, with the highest increase of 50.55%
 229 compared to the control. Although the effect was not significant, B1 and the interaction of B3S3
 230 showed the highest in the total dry weight of upland rice with 20.84 and 81.53%, respectively,
 231 compared to the control.

232

233 Crop growth rate of upland rice

234 The effect of superior bacterial isolates, biogas sludge, and their interactions significantly
 235 increased the crop growth rate of upland rice at 12 to 16 WAA, but it did not have a significant
 236 effect at 4-8 and 8-12 WAA (Tab. 5).

237 **TABLE 5.** Effect of superior bacterial isolates, biogas sludge, and their interactions on the crop growth rate of the
 238 upland rice 4, 8, 12, and 16 weeks after the application (WAA).

239

Superior bacterial isolates (B)	Biogas sludge (S)			Average	
	S0	S1	S2		S3
	4-8 WAA				
B0	1.434	1.598	1.823	1.806	1.665
B1	1.631	1.669	2.381	1.885	1.892
B2	2.077	1.176	1.273	2.019	1.636
B3	1.180	1.734	2.252	2.144	1.828
Average	1.580	1.544	1.932	1.964	CV = 32.28%

8-12 WAA					
B0	0.584	0.602	1.041	1.430	0.914
B1	0.818	1.099	0.831	0.501	0.812
B2	0.414	0.972	1.633	0.679	0.925
B3	0.665	0.761	0.828	1.297	0.888
Average	0.620	0.859	1.083	0.977	CV = 56.17%
12-16 WAA					
B0	0.327 fgh	0.298 gh	0.246 h	0.403b-h	0.318 b
B1	0.385 c-h	0.619 a-h	0.384 d-h	1.882a	0.817 a
B2	0.375 e-h	1.005 a-h	0.798 a-h	1.400a-h	0.895 a
B3	0.899 a-h	0.811 a-h	0.466 a-h	0.866a-h	0.761 a
Average	0.496 b	0.683 b	0.474 b	1.138 a	CV = 51.07%

240 Values followed by the different letter in the column significantly differed according to the Duncan test at $P < 0.05$.
 241 ns - not significant; CV - coefficient of variation. Dosage of biogas sludge (S0 = untreated; S1 = 157.5; S2 = 315;
 242 S3 = 630 ml/polybag). Superior bacterial isolates (B0 = un-treated; B1 = isolate N3, B2 = isolate P7, B3 = isolates
 243 N3+P7).

244

245 The biogas sludge dose of 630 ml/polybag (S3) significantly increased the highest crop growth
 246 rate for upland rice at 12 to 16 WAA by 129.44% compared to the control. The isolates B1-B3
 247 significantly increased the crop growth rate of upland rice with the highest increase for B2 of
 248 181.45% compared to the controls at 12 to 16 WAA. The interaction of the B1S3 significantly
 249 increased the crop growth rate of upland rice, showing values 5.76 times greater than those of
 250 the control.

251

252 **Effect of bacterial isolates and biogas sludge on upland rice nutrition**

253 Content of N and P in the upland rice

254 The effect of biogas sludge, superior bacterial isolates, and their interactions did not have a
 255 significant effect on the content of N and P in the upland rice (Fig. 2). The biogas sludge doses
 256 of 315 and 630 ml/polybag (S2 and S3) explained that the contents of P and N in the plant tissue
 257 of upland rice were 33.33 and 4.53% higher, respectively, compared to the control. The isolate
 258 B2 showed the highest content of N in the plant tissue of upland rice with values 1.63% higher
 259 than those of the control; however, all isolates (B1-B3) showed a similar level of P in the plant
 260 tissue of upland rice compared to the control.


261

262 Uptake of N and P in the upland rice

263 The effect of biogas sludge significantly increased in the uptake of N and P. The superior
 264 bacterial isolates significantly increased in the uptake of nitrogen. The interaction of biogas
 265 sludge with superior bacterial isolates did not show a significant effect on the uptake of N and
 266 P in the upland rice (Fig. 2).

267 A significant increase in the uptake of N and P in upland rice was observed with a higher dose
 268 of biogas sludge of 630 ml/polybag, with the highest increases of 54.11 and 65.20%,
 269 respectively, compared to the control. The bacterial isolates B1-B3 also significantly increased
 270 the uptake of N in the upland rice with the highest increase with the B2 of 20.77% compared to
 271 the control. Although the effect was not significant, B3 showed the highest uptake of P in the
 272 upland rice of 19.93% compared to the control.

273



274 **FIGURE 2.** The effect of superior bacterial isolates, dosage of biogas sludge, and their interactions on the nutrient
 275 content and uptake of N and P in the upland rice. Values followed by different letters significantly differed
 276 according to the Duncan test at $P < 0.05$. ns - not significant. Dosage of biogas sludge (S0 = untreated; S1 = 157.5;

277 S2 = 315; S3 = 630 ml/polybag). Superior bacterial isolates (B0 = untreated; B1 = isolate N3, B2 = isolate P7, B3
278 = N3+P7 isolates).
279

280 **Discussion**

281 **Effect of selected superior bacterial isolates**

282 The selected superior bacterial isolates (N3 and P7) significantly increased the uptake of
283 nitrogen and crop growth rate of upland rice on Ultisols at 12 to 16 WAA, but it did not have a
284 significant effect on plant height, total fresh weight, total dry weight, content (N and P) in leaf
285 tissue, uptake of phosphorus, and crop growth rate of upland rice at 4 to 8 and 8 to 12 WAA.
286 The superior bacterial isolates (N3, P7, and N3+P7) could increase the uptake of nitrogen in
287 upland rice by 14.64%, 20.77%, and 20.68%, respectively, compared to the control (Fig. 2).
288 Similar results are also shown in Table 5, where can be observed that the crop growth rate of
289 upland rice at 12 to 16 WAA has increased 2.57, 2.81, and 2.39 times, respectively due to the
290 selected superior bacterial isolates (N3, P7, N3+P7), compared to the control. The results
291 indicate that the ability of a single P7 bacterial isolate was greater in increasing the nitrogen
292 and crop growth rate of upland rice compared to a single N3 isolate and the combination of
293 N3+P7 isolates. This was due to the presence of several organic acids and hormones produced
294 by P7 that can increase the uptake of nitrogen and crop growth rate of upland rice. This result
295 is supported by Mustamu *et al.* (2021a) who found that the phosphate solubilizing bacterial
296 isolate (P7) from the biogas sludge contains organic acids such as lactic, oxalic, acetic, and
297 citric acids, and had the highest ability to solubilize phosphate from calcium triphosphate and
298 rock phosphate with values 4.62 and 2.66 times higher, respectively, compared to the control.
299 Meena *et al.* (2016) reported that the availability of nitrogen and phosphorus in soils slightly
300 increased with the application of bio fertilization with *Bacillus cereus*; this was due to the
301 production of organic acids and other chemicals such as citric, tartaric, and oxalic acids that can
302 stimulate plant growth and nutrient availability. Youssef and Eissa (2017) reported that the

303 increase in vegetative growth and total biomass was due to increased photosynthesis,
304 translocation, and accumulation of mineral nutrients. Khan *et al.* (2020) reported that *Bacillus*
305 *cereus* strain SA1 can produce the hormones gibberellin, indole-acetic acid (IAA), and organic
306 acids. Ferrara *et al.* (2012) reported that the hormone gibberellin and IAA, can increase plant
307 growth under stressful conditions. Kang *et al.* (2014) said that the plant growth-promoting
308 bacteria (PGPB) has several mechanisms to increase plant growth with nitrogen-fixation and
309 phosphate solubilization, increasing nutrient availability. Suksong *et al.* (2016) reported that
310 bacteria of palm oil solid waste from an anaerobic digester include *Ruminococcus* sp.,
311 *Thiomargarita* sp., *Clostridium* sp. *Anaerobacter* sp., *Bacillus* sp., *Sporobacterium* sp.,
312 *Saccharofermentans* sp., *Oscillibacter* sp., *Sporobacter* sp., and *Enterobacter* sp. Liaquat *et al.*
313 (2017) also reported abundance of *Bacillus*, *Clostridium*, and *Enterobacter* spp. in an anaerobic
314 digester of wastewater when producing biogas.

315

316 **Effect of biogas sludge**

317 The dose of biogas sludge significantly increased plant height, total fresh weight (8, 12, and 16
318 WAA), total dry weight (12 and 16 WAA), uptake (N and P), and the crop growth rate of upland
319 rice at 8 to 12 WAA. However, it did not have a significant effect on content (N and P) in leaf
320 tissue, and crop growth rate of upland rice (4-8 and 8-12 WAA). An increase in plant height,
321 total dry weight, uptake in terms of nitrogen and phosphorus, and also crop growth rate of
322 upland rice on Ultisols with a higher dose of biogas sludge of 630 ml/polybag at the end of this
323 study (16 WAA). In contrast, the total fresh weight increased along with the higher dose of
324 biogas sludge to 315 ml/polybag and then decreased at the dose of 630 ml/polybag. This result
325 is supported the biogas sludge had chemical characteristics such as pH (7.41), total N (0.051%),
326 available P (0.013%), organic C (0.14%), total K (0.18%), and biological characteristics such
327 as total nitrogen-fixing bacteria (29.4×10^5 CFU ml⁻¹) and total phosphate solubilizing bacteria

328 $(7.0 \times 10^4 \text{ CFU ml}^{-1})$ (Tab. 2). The organic C content and the total population of nitrogen-fixing
329 and phosphate solubilizing bacteria from the biogas sludge could increase the uptake in terms
330 of nitrogen and phosphorus in upland rice with an increasing dose of biogas sludge of 630
331 ml/polybag (Fig. 2). Therefore, the nutrients absorbed are used for plant metabolic processes
332 and stimulate the plant height, biomass, and crop growth rate of the upland rice. A similar result
333 was reported by Mustamu and Triyanto (2020) who determined the macro and micronutrients
334 from the biogas sludge and the population of nitrogen-fixing and phosphate solubilizing
335 bacteria of 480×10^4 and $42 \times 10^4 \text{ CFU ml}^{-1}$, respectively. Ndubuisi-Nnaji *et al.* (2020) reported
336 that the total phosphate solubilizing bacteria (1.6 to 2.5 CFU ml^{-1}) was significantly higher
337 compared to nitrogen-fixing bacteria (0.5 - 1.4 CFU ml^{-1}) showing a significant increase in
338 nutrient concentration in the order of $\text{N} > \text{K} > \text{P} > \text{Ca} > \text{Mg} > \text{S}$ in all anaerobic digester bioreactors.
339 Möller and Müller (2012) reported that an increase in concentrations of NH_4^+ -N ranged from
340 45 to 80% in the anaerobic waste.

341

342 **Interaction of selected superior bacterial isolates and biogas sludge**

343 The interaction of biogas sludge and superior bacterial isolates only significantly increased the
344 crop growth rate of upland rice on Ultisols at 12-16 WAA, but it did not have a significant
345 effect on the other parameters in this study. The interaction of B1 with biogas sludge at the dose
346 of 630 ml/polybag (B1S3) showed the highest crop growth rate of upland rice compared to
347 other interactions and was 5.76 times greater compared to the control. This was caused by the
348 application of biogas sludge that could have increased soil organic matter and the total
349 population of beneficial bacteria. Likewise, the biogas sludge contained organic C (0.14%),
350 total nitrogen-fixing bacteria ($29.4 \times 10^5 \text{ CFU ml}^{-1}$), and total phosphate solubilizing bacteria
351 ($7.0 \times 10^4 \text{ CFU ml}^{-1}$) (Tab. 2) that could improve soil quality and support the crop growth rate.
352 This result is supported by Urrea *et al.* (2019) who found that the application of sewage sludge

353 in the long term significantly increases the organic matter contents in the soil, causing a
354 decrease in soil pH due to the nitrification of ammonium in sewage sludge and the production
355 of organic acids along with the decomposition of the organic matter. Bhardwaj *et al.* (2014);
356 Carvajal-Muñoz and Carmona-Garcia (2012) showed that the application of a biofertilizer had
357 advantages in the plant such as availability of nutrients that are balanced for plant health. It also
358 stimulates nutrient mobilization that can increase soil biological activity and the availability of
359 microbial food to encourage the growth of beneficial microorganisms, increasing the soil
360 organic matter content and, therefore, the cation exchange capacity. Siswanti and Lestari (2019)
361 indicated that the interaction of biogas sludge+biofertilizer (36 ml+10 L ha⁻¹) significantly
362 increased the plant height, number of leaves, and capsaicin content in chili pepper compared to
363 a single treatment of biogas sludge and biofertilizer.

364 **Conclusions**

365 The isolates N3, P7, N3+P7 from the biogas sludge significantly increased the uptake of
366 nitrogen (20.77%) and crop growth rate (2.81 times higher than the control) of upland rice on
367 Ultisols with the highest increase found with the P7 isolate. The dose of biogas sludge
368 significantly increased plant height (14.81%), total dry weight (50.55%), uptake of nitrogen
369 (54.11%) and phosphorus (65.20%), and also crop growth rate (129.44%) of upland rice on
370 Ultisols with the highest increase at a dose of 630 ml/polybag. Likewise, the dose of biogas
371 sludge significantly increased the total fresh weight of upland rice by 41.81% with the highest
372 increase at the dose of 315 ml/polybag. The interaction of isolates N3, P7, N3+P7 with the dose
373 of biogas sludge only significantly increased the crop growth rate of upland rice on Ultisols
374 5.76 times with the highest increase found with B1S3.

375 **Conflict of interest statement**

376 The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

377 Author's contributions

378 All authors formulated the overarching research goals and aims, provided the study materials,
379 developed or designed the methodology. NEM analyzed and interpreted the study data. NEM
380 and MS wrote the initial draft, managed and coordinated the research activity in the field, and
381 collected the data. ZN and I verified the overall reproducibility of results and the other research
382 outputs. All authors conducted the critical review/commentary/revision of the manuscript.

383

384 Literature cited

385 Adela, B. N., Muzzammil, N., Loh, S. K., & Choo, Y. M. (2014). Characteristics of palm oil
386 mill effluent (POME) in an anaerobic biogas digester. *Asian Journal of Microbiology,*
387 *Biotechnology and Environmental Sciences, 16*(1), 225–231.

388 Alvionita, F., Faizal, M., Komariah, L. N., & Said, M. (2019). Biogas production from palm oil
389 mill effluent with indigenous bacteria. *International Journal on Advanced Science,*
390 *Engineering and Information Technology, 9*(6), 2060–2066.
391 <https://doi.org/10.18517/ijaseit.9.6.10462>

392 Ambrosini, A., Stefanski, T., Lisboa, B. B., Beneduzi, A., Vargas, L. K., & Passaglia, L. M. P.
393 (2016). Diazotrophic bacilli isolated from the sunflower rhizosphere and the potential of
394 *Bacillus mycoides* B38V as biofertiliser. *Annals of Applied Biology, 168*(1), 93–110.
395 <https://doi.org/10.1111/aab.12245>

396 Balai Penelitian Tanah. (2009). *Petunjuk teknis 2: Analisis kimia tanah, tanaman, air, dan*
397 *pupuk*. Kementerian Pertanian.

398 Bhardwaj, D., Ansari, M. W., Sahoo, R. K., & Tuteja, N. (2014). Biofertilizers function as key
399 player in sustainable agriculture by improving soil fertility, plant tolerance and crop
400 productivity. *Microbial Cell Factories, 13*, Article 66. [https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-](https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-13-66)
401 [2859-13-66](https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-13-66)

- 402 Carvajal-Muñoz, J. S., & Carmona-Garcia, C. E. (2012). Benefits and limitations of
403 biofertilization in agricultural practices. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*,
404 24(3), Article 43.
- 405 Choorit, W., & Wisarnwan, P. (2007). Effect of temperature on the anaerobic digestion of palm
406 oil mill effluent. *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology*, 10(3), 376–385.
407 <https://doi.org/10.2225/vol10-issue3-fulltext-7>
- 408 FAO. (1977). *FAO soils Bulletin 40 - China: recycling of organic wastes in agriculture..* Food
409 and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
410 <https://www.fao.org/publications/card/en/c/34d03d32-bd9f-5d08-aa08-ed2499349eb1/>
- 411 Ferrara, F. I. S., Oliveira, Z. M., Gonzales, H. H. S., Floh, E. I. S., & Barbosa, H. R. (2012).
412 Endophytic and rhizospheric enterobacteria isolated from sugar cane have different
413 potentials for producing plant growth-promoting substances. *Plant and Soil*, 353, 409–
414 417. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-1042-1>
- 415 IBM. (2011). *IBM SPSS statistics for Windows version 20.0.* International Business Machines
416 Corporation.
- 417 Kang, S. M., Radhakrishnan, R., You, Y. H., Joo, G. J., Lee, I. J., Lee, K. E., & Kim, J. H.
418 (2014). Phosphate solubilizing *Bacillus megaterium* mj1212 regulates endogenous plant
419 carbohydrates and amino acids contents to promote mustard plant growth. *Indian Journal*
420 *of Microbiology*, 54, 427–433. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12088-014-0476-6>
- 421 Kementerian Pertanian. (2017). *Produksi tanaman pangan di Indonesia.*
- 422 Khan, M. A., Asaf, S., Khan, A. L., Jan, R., Kang, S. M., Kim, K. M., & Lee, I. J. (2020).
423 Thermotolerance effect of plant growth-promoting *Bacillus cereus* SA1 on soybean
424 during heat stress. *BMC Microbiology*, 20, Article 175. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-020-01822-7)
425 [020-01822-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-020-01822-7)

- 426 Liaquat, R., Jamal, A., Tauseef, I., Qureshi, Z., Farooq, U., Imran, M., & Ali, M. I. (2017).
427 Characterizing bacterial consortia from an anaerobic digester treating organic waste for
428 biogas production. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, 26(7), 709–716.
429 <https://doi.org/10.15244/pjoes/59332>
- 430 Lim, J. W., Ge, T., & Tong, Y. W. (2018). Monitoring of microbial communities in anaerobic
431 digestion sludge for biogas optimisation. *Waste Management*, 71, 334–341.
432 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.10.007>
- 433 Lubis, F. S., Irvan, Anwar, D., Harahap, B. A., & Trisakti, B. (2014). Kajian awal pembuatan
434 pupuk cair organik dari effluent pengolahan lanjut limbah cair pabrik kelapa sawit
435 (LCPKS) skala pilot. *Jurnal Teknik Kimia USU*, 3(1), 32–37.
436 <https://doi.org/10.32734/jtk.v3i1.1499>
- 437 Meena, V. S., Bahaur, I., Maurya, B. R., Kumar, A., Meena, R. K., & Verma, J. P. (2016).
438 Potassium-solubilizing microorganism in evergreen agriculture: an overview. In V. S.
439 Meena, B. R. Maurya, J. P. Verma, & R. S. Meena (Eds.), *Potassium solubilizing*
440 *microorganisms for sustainable agriculture* (pp. 1–20). Springer.
441 <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2>
- 442 Möller, K., & Müller, T. (2012). Effects of anaerobic digestion on digestate nutrient availability
443 and crop growth: a review. *Engineering in Life Sciences*, 12(3), 242–257.
444 <https://doi.org/10.1002/elsc.201100085>
- 445 Mustamu, N. E., & Triyanto, Y. (2020). Nature of chemical and biological sludge biogas liquid
446 waste oil palm. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*,
447 5(2), 955–957.
- 448 Mustamu, N. E., Nasution, Z., Irvan, & Sembiring, M. (2021b). Potential and phylogenetic of
449 superior bacterial isolates in biogas sludge from anaerobic digestion of palm oil mill
450 effluent. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 913, Article 012065

- 451 Mustamu, N. E., Nasution, Z., Irvan., & Sembiring, M. (2021a). Isolation of phosphate
452 solubilizing bacteria from anaerobic digestion sludge of palm oil mill effluent on ultisols.
453 *Plant Cell Biotechnology and Molecular Biology*, 22(35–36), 220–230.
- 454 Ndubuisi-Nnaji, U. U., Ofon, U. A., Ekponne, N. I., & Offiong, N. A. O. (2020). Improved
455 biofertilizer properties of digestate from codigestion of brewer's spent grain and palm oil
456 mill effluent by manure supplementation. *Sustainable Environment Research*, 30, Article
457 14.
- 458 Pusat Penelitian Tanah dan Agroklimat. (2000). *Atlas peta tanah Indonesia*. Jakarta Puslittanak.
- 459 Sharma, S. B., Sayyed, R. Z., Trivedi, M. H., & Gobi, T. A. (2013). Phosphate solubilizing
460 microbes: sustainable approach for managing phosphorus deficiency in agricultural soils.
461 *SpringerPlus*, 2, Article 587. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2193-1801-2-587>
- 462 Shon, T. K., Haryanto, T. A. D., & Yoshida, T. (1997). Dry matter production and utilization
463 of solar energy in one year old *Bupleurum falcatum*. *Journal of the Faculty of Agriculture*
464 *Kyushu University*, 41(3–4), 133–139.
- 465 Siswanti, D. U., & Lestari, M. F. (2019). Growth rate and capsaicin level of curly red chili
466 (*Capsicum annum* L.) on biofertilizer and biogas sludge application. *Jurnal Biodjati*, 4(1),
467 126–137. <https://doi.org/10.15575/biodjati.v4i1.4216>
- 468 Suksong, W., Kongjan, P., Prasertsan, P., Imai, T., & O-Thong, S. (2016). Optimization and
469 microbial community analysis for production of biogas from solid waste residues of palm
470 oil mill industry by solid-state anaerobic digestion. *Bioresource Technology*, 214, 166–
471 174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.04.077>
- 472 Sutarta, E. S., Tobing, P. L., & Sufianto. (2003, June 13–14). Aplikasi limbah cair pabrik kelapa
473 sawit pada perkebunan kelapa sawit [Conference presentation]. Pertemuan Kelapa Sawit
474 II, Medan, Indonesia.

- 475 Tepsour, M., Usmanbaha, N., Rattanaya, T., Jariyaboon, R., O-Thong, S., Prasertsan, P., &
476 Kongjan, P. (2019). Biogas production from oil palm empty fruit bunches and palm oil
477 decanter cake using solid-state anaerobic co-digestion. *Energies*, *12*(22), Article 4368.
478 <https://doi.org/10.3390/en12224368>
- 479 Urrea, J., Alkorta, I., Mijangos, I., Epelde, L., & Garbisu, C. (2019). Application of sewage
480 sludge to agricultural soil increases the abundance of antibiotic resistance genes without
481 altering the composition of prokaryotic communities. *Science of the Total Environment*,
482 *647*, 1410–1420. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.092>
- 483 Youssef, M. A., & Eissa, M. A. (2017). Comparison between organic and inorganic nutrition
484 for tomato. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, *40*(13), 1900–1907.
485 <https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2016.1270309>
- 486 Zhang, A. M., Zhao, G. Y., Gao, T. G., Wang, W., Li, J., Zhang, S. F., & Zhu, B. C. (2013).
487 Solubilization of insoluble potassium and phosphate by *Paenibacillus kribensis* CX-7: a
488 soil microorganism with biological control potential. *African Journal of Microbiology*
489 *Research*, *7*(1), 41–47. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJMR12.1485>